| NAME: | CLASS: | SCORE: | Strengths:Weaknesses: |
|-------|--------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | Strategies: Language: |
| | | | Total: |

SHS BAND SELF-ASSESSMENT

| Considerations: | Piece #1: Remember: WHO, WHAT, WHERE – Be specific!! | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Strengths | | | | |
| Note Accuracy | • | | | | |
| Rhythmic Accuracy | • | | | | |
| Pitch (Intonation) | • | | | | |
| Tempo | Weaknesses • | | | | |
| Balance | • | | | | |
| Blend | • | | | | |
| Tone | Choose one weakness and provide a specific strategy to improve in this area: | | | | |
| Dynamics | | | | | |
| Articulation | | | | | |
| Style | Piece #2: Remember: WHO, WHAT, WHERE – Be specific!! | | | | |
| Phrasing | Strengths Televisian Strengths | | | | |
| Technique | | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | Weaknesses | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | Choose one weakness and provide a specific strategy to improve in this area: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Simsbury High School Common Core Learning Expectation Rubric

EVALUATE/CRITIQUE THE WORK OF SELF AND OTHERS

| | VALUATEICK | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Exceeds Standard | Meets Standard | Approaching Standard | Incomplete | Areas to Improve |
| IDENTIFICATION OF STRENGTHS Student accurately identifies most of the significant strengths in the work by citing specific and insightful details. Achieves greater understanding through dialogue with teacher and peers | Identification of strengths is consistent and highly effective | Identification of strengths is effective | Identification of strengths is limited or inconsistent | Identification of strengths is not yet evident | □ Identification of multiple strengths □ Awareness of expectations □ Depth of insight □ Citing of specific details □ Dialogue with teacher and peers |
| IDENTIFICATION OF WEAKNESS Student accurately identifies most of the significant weakness in the work by citing specific and insightful details. Achieves greater understanding through dialogue with teacher and peers | Identification of weakness is consistent and highly effective | Identification of weakness is effective | Identification of weakness is limited or inconsistent | Identification of weakness is not yet evident | □ Identification of multiple weaknesses □ Awareness of expectations □ Depth of insight □ Citing of specific details □ Dialogue with teacher and peers |
| ARTICULATING STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVEMENT Student identified appropriate strategies for improvement, outlining a future plan of action that will assist with future learning | Articulation of strategies for improvement is consistent and highly effective | Articulation of strategies for improvement is consistent and effective | Articulation of strategies for improvement is limited or inconsistent | Articulation of strategies for improvement is not yet evident | □ Identification of multiple strategies □ Depth of insight □ Outline for future plan □ Effectiveness of future plan □ Independence of thought/action |
| USE OF LANGUAGE OF DISCIPLINE Student demonstrates command of the vocabulary, concepts, processes, and/or principles of the discipline and accurately applies that knowledge throughout the critique | Use of language of discipline is consistent and highly effective | Use of language of discipline is consistent | Use of language of discipline is limited or inconsistent | Use of language of discipline is not yet evident | □ Vocabulary of the discipline □ Concepts of the discipline □ Processes of the discipline □ Accuracy of language in identifying strengths/weakness □ Accuracy of language in identifying strategies for improvement |